

Prekopa-Leindler inequality

nada • 14 Nov 2023

Theorem (Prekopa-Leindler inequality (an integral form of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality)) Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and f , g , and h be nonnegative integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$h((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \geq f(x)^{1-\lambda} g(y)^\lambda \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx \right)^\lambda \quad (2)$$

Proof. We assume that A , B and $A + B$ are measurable sets, and we shift them such that $A \cap B = \phi$, and so

$$A + B \geq A \cup B \quad (3)$$

and so by almost disjointedness we get

$$\mu(A + B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B) \quad (4)$$

Now we show the inequality by induction:

1. Basic Step $n = 1$: Define the set

$$L_h(t) = x : h(x) \geq t \quad (5)$$

and similarly,

$$L_f(t) = x : f(x) \geq t \quad (6)$$

$$L_g(t) = y : g(y) \geq t \quad (7)$$

From 3, we get

$$L_h(t) \supseteq \lambda L_f(t) + (1 - \lambda)L_g(t) \quad (8)$$

and so from 4, we get

$$\mu(L_h(t)) \geq \mu(\lambda L_f(t)) + \mu((1 - \lambda)L_g(t)) \quad (9)$$

and since we are only considering the one dimensional ($n=1$) case, we get

$$\mu(L_h(t)) \geq \lambda\mu(L_f(t)) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(L_g(t)) \quad (10)$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $f \geq 0$, then by Fubini's theorem we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)dx = \int_{t \geq 0} \mu(L_h(t))dt \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)dx &= \int_{t \geq 0} \mu(L_h(t))dt \\ &\geq \int_{t \geq 0} (\lambda\mu(L_f(t)) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(L_g(t)))dt \\ &= \lambda \int_{t \geq 0} \mu(L_f(t))dt + (1 - \lambda) \int_{t \geq 0} \mu(L_g(t))dt \\ &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx + (1 - \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y)dy \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now, from (??, below), we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)dx \geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx \right)^\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \quad (13)$$

2. Inductive Step: Suppose the inequality holds for \mathbb{R}^n , now we show it also holds true for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

Set

$$\gamma = \lambda\alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta \quad (14)$$

Define the following function for any constant c :

$$h_c(x) = h(c, x) \quad (15)$$