

# Integer points on an elliptic curve

Bogdan Grechuk • 27 Jul 2026

This is the third post in a series begun [here](#) and continued [here](#). The series presents one striking and comparatively accessible theorem associated with each of the 2026 Fields Medalists and the 2026 Abacus Medalist. This post concerns [Fields Medalist Jacob Tsimerman](#) and a basic quantitative question about elliptic curves: how many integer points can such a curve have?

Elliptic curves have appeared several times on this blog, for example [here](#) and [here](#). In this post, an elliptic curve  $E$  is given by an integral short Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \tag{1}$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\Delta_E = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2) \neq 0.$$

The condition  $\Delta_E \neq 0$  ensures that the curve is nonsingular. We also assume that the model is minimal in the following elementary sense: there is no prime  $p$  for which both  $p^4 \mid a$  and  $p^6 \mid b$ . Its naive height is

$$H(E) = \max\{4|a|^3, 27b^2\}.$$

We are interested in the number

$$N_E = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\}$$

of integer points on  $E$ . A fundamental theorem of Siegel (Siegel 1929) states that  $N_E$  is finite. Siegel's theorem, however, does not by itself provide a uniform bound for  $N_E$  in terms of a simple invariant such as the discriminant.

In 1992, Schmidt (Schmidt 1992) proved that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$N_E \leq C_\epsilon |\Delta_E|^{1/2+\epsilon},$$

where  $C_\epsilon$  depends only on  $\epsilon$ . Helfgott and Venkatesh (Helfgott and Venkatesh 2006) substantially improved this result in 2006, showing that

$$N_E \leq C_\epsilon |\Delta_E|^{\beta+\epsilon},$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{4\sqrt{3}\log(2 + \sqrt{3}) - 6\log 2 - 3\log 3}{12\log 2} = 0.2007\dots$$

Thus the number of integer points grows much more slowly than the square root of the discriminant.

This estimate remained the strongest known general bound for more than a decade. In 2020, Bhargava, Shankar, Taniguchi, Thorne, Tsimerman, and Zhao (Bhargava et al. 2020) nearly halved the exponent.

**Theorem 1** *For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\epsilon < \infty$  such that every elliptic curve  $E$  as above satisfies*

$$N_E \leq C_\epsilon |\Delta_E|^{\alpha+\epsilon},$$

*where  $\alpha = 0.1117\dots$  is an explicit constant.*

In other words, although an elliptic curve may have many integer points, their number is severely constrained by the arithmetic complexity of the curve. The theorem gives a uniform bound valid for every elliptic curve and improves the previously best exponent from approximately 0.2007 to approximately 0.1117.

## References

- Bhargava, Manjul, Arul Shankar, Takashi Taniguchi, Frank Thorne, Jacob Tsimerman, and Yongqiang Zhao. 2020. “Bounds on 2-Torsion in Class Groups of Number Fields and Integral Points on Elliptic Curves.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 33 (4): 1087–99.
- Helfgott, Harald, and Akshay Venkatesh. 2006. “Integral Points on Elliptic Curves and 3-Torsion in Class Groups.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (3): 527–50.
- Schmidt, Wolfgang M. 1992. “Integer Points on Curves of Genus 1.” *Compos. Math.* 81 (1): 33–59.
- Siegel, Carl Ludwig. 1929. *Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen*. Akad. de Gruyter in Komm.