

# On infinite-index subgroups of one-relator groups

Bogdan Grechuk • 26 Jan 2026

A recent paper by Henry Wilton (Wilton 2024), just accepted to *Acta Mathematica*, settles a long-standing question regarding the structure of one-relator groups. Wilton proves that free groups and surface groups are the only examples of one-relator groups in which every subgroup of infinite index is free.

This result provides a definitive classification in a field that bridges algebra and topology. To appreciate the significance of this theorem, let us first revisit the foundational concepts of group presentations and their topological origins.

Recall that a group is a pair  $(G, \cdot)$  where the binary operation satisfies associativity, possesses an identity element, and admits inverses. While the integers  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  provide a simple commutative example, geometric group theory often deals with non-commutative groups defined by symbolic sequences.

Let  $S$  be a set of symbols called *generators*. For each  $x \in S$ , we introduce a formal inverse  $x^{-1}$ . A *word* is any finite sequence of these symbols. We consider the words  $xx^{-1}$  and  $x^{-1}x$  to be “trivial”.

Two words are deemed equivalent if one can be transformed into the other by inserting or deleting trivial words. The set of equivalence classes forms a group under concatenation, denoted by  $F_S$ , and is called the *free group* on  $S$ . If  $S$  is finite,  $F_S$  is called a free group of finite rank. For instance, the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  are isomorphic to the free group on a single generator.

Most groups are not free; they satisfy constraints. Let  $R \subset F_S$  be a set of words. We say that words  $w_1 \in F_S$  and  $w_2 \in F_S$  are *R-equivalent* if they can be transformed into each other by insertions or deletions either of trivial words or words from the set  $R$ . The set of equivalence classes of words under  $R$ -equivalence form a group with the same operation  $w_1 \cdot w_2 = w_1 w_2$  which we denote by  $\langle S|R \rangle$ . If a group  $G$  is isomorphic to a group  $\langle S|R \rangle$  for some set  $S$  and some  $R \subset F_S$ , we will call  $\langle S|R \rangle$  a *presentation* of  $G$ , where  $S$  is called the set of *generators*, and  $R$  is called the set of *relators*. A group  $G$  is called *finitely presented* if it has a presentation  $\langle S|R \rangle$  in which both  $S$  and  $R$  are finite sets.

- If  $R = \emptyset$ , the group is free.

- If  $|R| \leq 1$  (the set  $R$  contains at most one element), the group  $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$  is called a *one-relator group*.

One-relator groups appear naturally in topology. Consider a path-connected  $k$ -manifold  $M$ . Fix a basepoint  $x_0 \in M$  and consider the set of loops starting and ending at  $x_0$ . Let us call two such loops equivalent if they can be continuously transformed into each other within  $M$ . The union of two loops is defined in an obvious way: travel along the first loop, then along the second. Then the set of equivalence classes of such loops with the union operation forms a group. This group, up to isomorphism, depends only on  $M$  but not on  $x_0$ , is denoted  $\pi_1(M)$ , and is called the the fundamental group of  $M$ . It has been introduced by Poincaré in 1895, and since then became a central object of study in topology.

- If  $M$  is simply connected (e.g., a sphere), the fundamental group is trivial.
- If  $M$  is a closed surface other than the 2-sphere,  $\pi_1(M)$  is called a *surface group*.

Crucially, all surface groups are finitely presented and, in fact, are **one-relator groups**. This connects the algebraic definition directly to the topology of surfaces.

The motivation for Wilton's theorem lies in the subgroup structure of the mentioned groups.

- **Free Groups:** The famous *Nielsen-Schreier Theorem* states that every subgroup of a free group is free.
- **Surface Groups:** While not all subgroups of a surface group are free, a standard result in topology states that any subgroup of *infinite index* must be free.

Recall that a (left) coset of a subgroup  $H$  of group  $G$  with respect to  $g \in G$  is the set  $\{gh : h \in H\}$ . We say that subgroup  $H$  is of *infinite index* if there are infinitely many different cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

A major question in geometric group theory has been whether free groups and surface groups are the *only* finitely presented groups with this specific subgroup property. Historically, this question received significant attention in the context of one-relator groups, where the answer was conjectured to be “Yes.”

Culminating a long chain of partial results, Wilton (Wilton 2024) has confirmed this conjecture:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $G$  be an infinite one-relator group. If every subgroup of infinite index in  $G$  is free, then  $G$  is isomorphic to either a free group or a surface group.*

Stated contrapositively: Unless  $G$  is free or a surface group,  $G$  must contain a subgroup of infinite index that is not free. This result serves as a powerful classification tool and a useful precursor to the broader problem of identifying surface subgroups within larger structures.

Wilton, Henry. 2024. “Surface Groups Among Cubulated Hyperbolic and One-Relator Groups.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2406.02121*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02121>.