

# On the zero set of linear recurrences

Bogdan Grechuk • 16 Jan 2026

This post continues my series on favorite theorems of the 21st century. For an overview of the categories and my previous selections, see [this earlier post](#). In the category “*Between the Centuries*” in Analysis, my choice is a remarkable theorem of Evertse, Schlickewei, and Schmidt (Evertse et al. 2002), which gives an explicit upper bound on the complexity of the zero set of a linear recurrence sequence.

A central theme in analysis is the study of discrete-time dynamical systems, defined by iterates of a function  $f$ . One of the simplest yet most fundamental cases occurs when  $f$  is linear, leading to sequences defined by linear recurrences. Concretely, consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying

$$x_{n+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i x_{n+i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1}$$

where  $k \geq 1$  and  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c_0 \neq 0$ . Such a sequence is called a *linear recurrence of order  $k$* . Given initial values  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$ , the recurrence uniquely determines  $x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We shall assume throughout that the recurrence is *minimal*, meaning that the same sequence cannot be generated by a recurrence of smaller order.

One of the most basic and intriguing questions about a linear recurrence sequence is to describe its zero set,

$$S(\{x_n\}) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x_n = 0\}.$$

The classical Skolem–Mahler–Lech theorem asserts that  $S(\{x_n\})$  is always a union of a finite set and finitely many arithmetic progressions. For decades, however, it remained an open problem to bound—in terms of the order  $k$  alone—the size of this finite set and the number of arithmetic progressions that can occur. This problem was resolved in 2002 by Evertse, Schlickewei, and Schmidt for linear recurrences called “simple”.

Associated with (1) is the *companion polynomial*

$$G(z) = z^k - c_{k-1}z^{k-1} - \dots - c_1z - c_0.$$

If  $G(z)$  has only simple (complex) roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ , then the recurrence (1) is called *simple*. In this case the sequence admits an explicit closed form:

$$x_n = b_1\alpha_1^n + b_2\alpha_2^n + \dots + b_k\alpha_k^n,$$

where the coefficients  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  are determined by the initial values  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$ .

**Theorem 1 (Evertse–Schlickewei–Schmidt)** *Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a simple linear recurrence (1) of order  $k \geq 3$ . Then there exist integers  $n_1, \dots, n_q$  and arithmetic progressions  $T_1, \dots, T_r$  of the form*

$$T_i = \{u_i + tv_i \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}, v_i \neq 0,$$

such that

$$q + r \leq \exp((6k)^{3k})$$

and

$$S(\{x_n\}) = \{n_1, \dots, n_q\} \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_r.$$

Beyond its striking explicit bound, Theorem 1 introduced powerful new techniques and initiated rapid subsequent progress. Soon after, Schmidt (Schmidt 2000) extended the result to general (not necessarily simple) linear recurrences, obtaining a bound  $q + r \leq \exp \exp \exp(20k)$ , which is triply exponential in  $k$ . In 2009, Amoroso and Viada (Amoroso and Viada 2009) improved the bound in Theorem 1 to  $(8k)^{4k^5}$ , and in 2011 they further refined Schmidt’s result, proving that the zero set of a general recurrence sequence is a union of at most  $\exp \exp(70k)$  arithmetic progressions (Amoroso and Viada 2011).

Despite these advances, many fundamental questions about the zero sets of linear recurrences remain open. The most famous is the *Skolem problem*, which asks whether there exists a general algorithm that, given a linear recurrence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , decides whether  $x_n = 0$  for some  $n$ . A positive solution would yield a complete effective description of  $S(\{x_n\})$ . At present, however, the Skolem problem appears extremely difficult, and such an algorithm is known only in special cases—for instance, for recurrences of order  $k \leq 4$ , see (Vereshchagin 1985).

## References

- Amoroso, Francesco, and Evelina Viada. 2009. “Small Points on Subvarieties of a Torus.” *Duke Math. J.* 150 (3): 407–42. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2009-056>.

- Amoroso, Francesco, and Evelina Viada. 2011. "On the Zeros of Linear Recurrence Sequences." *Acta Arith.* 147 (4): 387–96. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa147-4-4>.
- Evertse, J.-H., H. P. Schlickewei, and W. M. Schmidt. 2002. "Linear Equations in Variables Which Lie in a Multiplicative Group." *Ann. Of Math. (2)* 155 (3): 807–36. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3062133>.
- Schmidt, Wolfgang M. 2000. "Zeros of Linear Recurrence Sequences." In *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, vol. 56.
- Vereshchagin, N. K. 1985. "The Problem of the Appearance of a Zero in a Linear Recursive Sequence." *Mat. Zametki* 38 (2): 177–89, 347.