Lieb's Concavity Theorem

Samyak Jha • 16 Oct 2025

This is mostly a rehashing James Lee's excellent lecture notes on the proof of Lieb's concavity theorem, which discusses things beyond the proof.

Theorem 1. Given any $X \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $s \in [0,1]$, the map

$$\Gamma(A,B) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^*A^sXB^{1-s}\right)$$

is jointly concave on $A, B \succ 0$

In order to prove this, we require this other theorem:

Theorem 2. For $t \in [0,1]$ the map

$$F(A,B) = A^s \otimes B^{1-s} \tag{1}$$

is jointly operator concave on $A, B \succ 0$

For those who've forgotten, we say that a map f is operator concave if given any $\lambda \in [0,1]$ we have

$$f(\lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)A_2, \lambda B_1 + (1 - \lambda)B_2) > \lambda f(A_1, B_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(A_2, B_2)$$

At a first glance, it seems to be quite strange that Theorem 2 would imply Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. If the map F, as defined in Theorem 2, is jointly operator concave then the map Γ , as defined in Theorem 1 is jointly concave, for $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_n^{++}$ i.e. $A, B \succ 0$

To prove this proposition, we require the following lemma,

Lemma 1. The map

$$(A,B) \to (A:B) \triangleq (A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}$$

is jointly operator concave.

This is not that difficult to prove. See Lee's notes for an elementary proof. I also think that this could be proven using elementary arguments from Löewner theory, maybe another post about it? Now, armed with this lemma, let us Proposition 2

Proof of Lemma 2. Note that from the Stieltjes representation,

$$A^{p} = \underbrace{\frac{\sin(p\pi)}{\pi}}_{C_{p}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{p-1} A(A+sI)^{-1} ds$$

$$= C_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{s} \cdot A : I\right) ds$$
(2)