

Springer theory intro

Jignore • 18 Feb 2026

The goal is to construct irreducible representation of Weyl group in a geometric way rather than combinatorial. Recall the Springer resolution $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of the nilpotent cone \mathcal{N} where $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ can also be identified with the cotangent bundle of the flag variety $T^*\mathcal{B}$.

The nilpotent cone \mathcal{N} are acted on by $G \times \mathbb{G}_m$ where \mathbb{G}_m acts by dilation. If we let \tilde{G}_e be the stabilizer of a nilpotent element e , then \tilde{G}_e acts on the Springer fiber \mathcal{B}_e . If we consider the induced action on the cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$, then the action of \tilde{G}_e factors through the component group $\pi_0(\tilde{G}_e)$. Since \tilde{G}_e surjects onto \mathbb{G}_m with kernel $C_G(e)$, the component group $A_e := \pi_0(C_G(e))$ surjects onto $\pi_0(\tilde{G}_e)$, so we get a representation of A_e .

Let W be the Weyl group of G . Springer discovered that even though W doesn't act directly on the Springer fiber \mathcal{B}_e , there is a natural W -action on the cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$. Moreover, this action commutes with the above action by A_e . For every $e \in \mathcal{N}$ and every irrep ρ of A_e , the ρ -isotypic component $M(e, \rho)$ will exhaust all the irrep of the Weyl group W . See Thm. 1.5.1 of Yun's note.

For example, if $e = 0$, then the Springer representation can be identified with the induced action on $H^*(G/T) \cong H^*(\mathcal{B})$ from the right action of W on G/T . Using Borel's presentation of the cohomology ring of the flag and Chevalley's restriction theorem, we can further identify this representation with the regular representation of W .

One construction is by the theory of perverse sheaves. The key theorem is Thm. 1.5.7, which says the complex $\mathcal{S} := R\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\dim \mathcal{N}]$ is a perverse sheaf on \mathcal{N} whose endomorphism ring is canonically isomorphic to the group ring $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[W]$. In particular, W acts on the stalk of $R\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, i.e. it acts on $H^*(\mathcal{B}_e)$ for all $e \in \mathcal{N}$. The idea is that by the dimension formula for the Springer fiber, one sees that the Springer resolution is semismall and the Grothendieck resolution $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is small, which is a technical condition that guarantees $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is perverse and it is the intermediate extension of its restriction to any open dense subset of \mathfrak{g} . In particular over the regular semisimple locus $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a W -torsor and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}^{rs}}$ is a W -local system placed in dimension $-\dim \mathfrak{g}$. By the functoriality of intermediate extension we get an action of W on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

There is another proof using the Steinberg variety $Z := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Using this Kazhdan-Lusztig give a topological construction in [this paper](#). It is the union of the conormal bundles to all G -orbits in $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, which are indexed by the Weyl group W , and the irreducible components of Z are the closures of $T_{Y_w}^*(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})$. See Ginzburg's book Corollary 3.3.5. As in the above proof a key role is the following dimension identity: Let \mathbb{O}_e be the conjugacy class of $e \in G$. Then we have $\dim \mathcal{B}_e + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbb{O}_e = \dim \mathcal{B}$. See Corollary 3.3.24. The proof uses some symplectic geometry and it still to be understood.

Lie algebraic version of the story: The exponential map is G -equivariant and identifies \mathcal{N} with \mathcal{U} for $\text{char}(k)$ large.

Reducedness of Springer fiber:

For $G = SL_2$, the fiber $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_e$ is non-reduced. We can compute this by parametrizing Borels by $[x : y]$, and the Lie algebra of the corresponding Borel can be identified with subsets of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ that fixed the line defined by $[x : y]$. Then the equation for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_e$ is $y^2 = 0$. On the other hand, the equation for \mathcal{B}_e is reduced, as its equation becomes $y = 0$.

Example:

For $G = SL_n$, the Springer correspondence is supposed to give us a bijection between irreps of S_n indexed by Young Tableaux λ (the corresponding representation S_λ has basis in bijection with the set of standard Young tableaux of shape λ) and nilpotent conjugacy classes indexed by partitions of n . Say the top cohomology of the Springer fiber \mathbb{B}_e realizes this representation for e in the nilpotent conjugacy class \mathcal{O} . The nilpotent Steinberg variety Z has irreducible components indexed by the Weyl group W . On the other hand, we can also describe the cohomology $H(Z)$ as direct sum of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ where \mathcal{O} range over nilpotent orbits (to show this we first define a filtration of Z by $Z_{\leq \mathcal{O}}$; then we show $H(Z_{\leq \mathcal{O}})$ is a two-sided ideal in $H(Z)$. The key is to show $H(Z)$ is semisimple.). Each $H(\mathcal{O})$ is isomorphic to $(H(\mathcal{B}_x) \otimes H(\mathcal{B}_x))^{C(x)}$. Finally, we deduce $H(Z) \cong \bigoplus_{x, \chi \in C(x)^\wedge} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(H(\mathcal{B}_x)_\chi)$. Since each $H(\mathcal{B}(x))$ has a vector space basis that can be identified with the set of standard Young tableaux of shape λ , we get a bijection between the set of pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape and S_n .

Reference:

[Yun's note on Springer theory](#)

[Ginzburg's book](#)

[own note](#)