

# Disjointness theorem

J'ignore • 1 Dec 2025

See [here](#) for the property of etale cohomology of quotient variety. The key point is that when we reduce to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ , there may be cohomology groups, but they are all killed by  $|G|$ , and hence stay so after passing to the limit over  $n$ , so that when inverting  $\ell$ , they disappear.

We would like to show geometric conjugacy class of  $(T, \theta)$  ( $T$  is a  $F$ -stable maximal torus and  $\theta$  is a character of  $T^F$ ) gives a partition of  $Irr(G^F)$ , where we say  $(T, \theta)$  and  $(T', \theta')$  are geometrically conjugate if there exists some  $n$  such that  $(T, \theta \circ N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})$  and  $(T', \theta' \circ N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})$  are  $G^{F^n}$ -conjugate. The problem is that even  $\langle R_T^\theta, R_{T'}^{\theta'} \rangle = 0$  they could still share irreducible characters since they are virtual characters.

Theorem (DM 13.3) If  $\chi$  is a common irreducible constituent of  $H_c^i(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U)) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[T^F]} \theta$  and  $H_c^j(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U')) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[T'^F]} \theta'$ , then the pairs  $(T, \theta)$  and  $(T', \theta')$  are geometrically conjugate.

Proof: Let  $\bar{\theta}$  be the conjugate representation (isomorphic to the dual representation) and  $\theta^\vee$  be the right  $T^F$ -representation obtained from  $\theta$ . The assumption implies that  $\bar{\theta} \otimes \theta^\vee$  occurs in  $H_c^i(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U)^\vee) \otimes_{G^F} H_c^j(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U'))$ . Consider the variety  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U) \times_{G^F} \mathcal{L}^{-1}(U')$  where the notation means we quotient by the action  $g \cdot (x, x') = (gx, gx')$ . By Kunneth we know that the tensor product of cohomology is isomorphic to the cohomology of this variety as a  $T^F$ -module- $T'^F$ .

To understand the cohomology of this variety, we first note that this is isomorphic to  $Z = \{(u, u', g) \in U \times U' \times G : uF(g) = gu'\}$ , where the action is mapped to  $(t, t') \cdot (u, u', g) = (tut^{-1}, t'^{-1}u't', tgt')$ . The isomorphism is given by  $(x, x') \mapsto (\mathcal{L}(x), \mathcal{L}(x'), x^{-1}x')$ .

We further decompose the variety  $Z$  into  $Z_w$  where  $w$  runs through the set of intertwiner from  $T$  to  $T'$  (more precisely  $z \in T \setminus \mathcal{S}(T, T')/T'$  where  $\mathcal{S}(T, T') := \{w \in G : T = wT'w^{-1}\}$ ). For this we use the Bruhat decomposition  $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in T \setminus \mathcal{S}(T, T')/T'} F^{-1}(B)wF^{-1}(B')$ .

Next we replace  $U'$  and  $B'$  by its twist by  $w$ . More precisely, we introduce the variables  $w' = wF(w)^{-1}$ ,  $F' = w'F$ , then

$$Z_w \cong Z'_w := \left\{ (u_1, u'_1, g_1) \in U \times wU'w^{-1} \times F^{-1}(B) (F')^{-1}(wB'w^{-1}) : u_1 F(g_1) \right\}$$

The action of  $T^F \times (T')^F$  now transfers to an action of  $T^F \times (wT'w^{-1})^{F'}$ . The benefit of doing this is this resembles more the transversal appearing in Mackey Formula. Now we can forget  $w$  and redefine  $B' := wB'w^{-1}$ ,  $T' := wT'w^{-1}$  and  $U' := wU'w^{-1}$ . We are going to use the decomposition  $F^{-1}(B)(F')^{-1}(B') = F^{-1}UTT'(F')^{-1}(U')$ .

Introduce

$$Z''_w := \left\{ (u, u', u_1, u'_1, n) \in U \times U' \times F^{-1}(U) \times (F')^{-1}(U') \times TT' \mid uF(n) = u_1 n u'_1 \right\}$$

There is an affine fibration  $\pi : Z''_w \rightarrow Z'_w$  given by  $(u, u', u_1, u'_1, n) \mapsto (uF(u_1)^{-1}, u'F'(u'_1), u_1 n u'_1)$ , so the cohomology of  $Z''_w$  is isomorphic to that of  $Z'_w$  as a  $T^F$ -module- $T'^{F'}$  if we equip  $Z''_w$  with the action  $(t, t') \cdot (u, u', u_1, u'_1, n) \mapsto (tut^{-1}, t'^{-1}u't', tu_1t^{-1}, t'^{-1}u'_1t', tnt')$ .

The idea now is to find a big enough torus  $H_w^\circ$  commuting with the action of  $T^F \times (T')^{F'}$ , since we know the virtual representation  $H^*(Z''_w)$  and  $H^*(Z''^{H_w^\circ}_w)$  are isomorphic. A natural idea is to take  $T = Z(T)$  and  $T' = Z(T')$ , but for the action of  $(t, t')$  to take  $Z''_w$  to itself, it is easy to check that this happens iff  $F(n)^{-1}(l^{-1}F(l))F(n) = w'^{-1}(mF'(m^{-1}))w'$ . If we write  $n = \lambda\mu$ , then since  $T, T'$  are commutative and  $T$  (resp.  $T'$ ) is  $F$ -stable (resp.  $F'$ -stable), we can check this is the same as  $l^{-1}F(l) = w'^{-1}(mF'(m^{-1}))w'$ .

We compute that  $H_w^\circ = \{(N_{F^n/F}(\tau), N_{F'^n/F'}(w'\tau^{-1}w')) : \tau \in T\}$ , where  $n$  is such that  $F^n(w) = w$  (and for this  $n$  we also have  $F'^n = F^n$  and  $F^n(w') = w'$ ).

<https://www.math.columbia.edu/~chaoli/docs/LusztigClassification.html#ref-1>