

Etale sites are topological invariants

J'ignore • 28 Sep 2025

Preliminary lemma: Integral iff affine and universally closed (intuitively, integral means that fibers are zero-dimensional; affineness are important, otherwise the fibers could be positively dimensional, c.f. proper morphisms which are almost never affine). For a formal proof, see [here](#).

Universal homeomorphism iff universal injective ([radical](#)), surjective (implies universally surjective since surjectivity is preserved under base change), integral (see [here](#) for reference).

One important thing to keep in mind is that even though two sites ([Grothendieck topology](#)) are not equivalent, they might have the same sheaf theory, and therefore same theory of abelian sheaf cohomology, e.g. the topological etale site X_{et} and the ordinary topological site X_{top} are not equivalent (the former has nontrivial automorphisms), but the category of sheaves $Et(X)$ and $Top(X)$ are.

If $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a universal homeomorphism, then it is not hard to see that the natural transformation $id \rightarrow f_* f^*$ and $f^* f_* \rightarrow id$ are isomorphisms. However, it turns out that even the etale sites of X and X' are equivalent. For the proof see [here](#) for the special case that S are closed subschemes of S' with the same underlying space and [here](#) for the general case using descent. The etale assumption ensures that $X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ is an open immersion (indeed unramifiedness suffices), and we can use the amazing property of open immersion that $X \rightarrow Y$ factorizes through an open subscheme $U \subset Y$ iff the set-theoretic image lands in the underlying set of U .

Reference:

<https://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/Weil2seminar/Notes/etnotes.pdf>,
1.1.6.4

<https://virtualmath1.stanford.edu/~conrad/Weil2seminar/Notes/L2.pdf>