## Automorphic lifting

J'ignore • 3 Sep 2025

There are two isomorphisms (of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras) between  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2+1)$ . They are Galois conjugates and no reason to prefer one over another. We can view  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  as living inside  $\mathbb{C}$ , or any other algebraic closures of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the point is that it can be equipped with different topologies.

 $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  being inverse limit of Galois group of  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  for K finite Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so has a profinite topology.

If we compare different constructions of  $\overline{Q}$  sitting inside different algebraic closures, we see that  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is only pinned down up to conjugation.

Review of automorphic representation: ring of adeles  $\mathbb{A}_F$  is defined as  $\prod_v' F_v$  and we equip  $GL_n(A_F)$  with the topology an open subset of  $\mathbb{A}_F^{n^2+1}$  (last coordinate being  $1/\det$  (how ideles are topologized).

The space of cuspidal automorphic forms  $\mathcal{A}_0(GL_n(F)\setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F))$  is the set of all functions  $\varphi:GL_n(F)\setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)\to \mathbb{C}$  such that (i)  $\varphi$  is smooth, i.e. In other words,  $\varphi$  is locally constant in the finite places and smooth in the infinite places. (ii)  $\varphi$  is  $GL_n(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\times U_\infty$ -finite where  $U_v$  is O(n) if v is real and U(n) if v is complex (right translates by this subspace is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ .) (iii)  $\varphi$  is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -finite (Here  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the center of the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the  $Lie(F_\infty)\otimes \mathbb{C}$  and  $X\in \mathfrak{h}$  acts by  $(X\varphi)(g)=\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}(\varphi(g\exp(tX)))$ . Harish-Chandra showed that  $\mathfrak{h}_\tau=\mathbb{C}[x_1,...x_n]^{S_n}$ .

John Thompson showed that monster group (largest sporadic simple group) can be realized as a quotient of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in infinitely many ways. (Journal of Algebra 89)

For each prime p,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}}/p\mathcal{O}\cong \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(f(x))$ . If  $m=2,3\mod 4$ , f(x) can be taken to be  $x^2-m$ ; otherwise it is  $x^2-x+\frac{1-m}{4}$ . The discriminant is 4m in the former and m in the latter. If p is odd and  $p\mid \Delta$ , then f(x) has double root and the same holds for p=2. If p doesn't divide  $\Delta$  and p odd, then f(x) is irreducible iff m is not a quadratic residue mod p. If p=2, then  $m=1\mod 4$  and  $f(x)=x^2-x+\frac{1-m}{4}$ . Since  $f'(x)=-1\neq 0$ , f(x) doesn't have double root. It is irreducible iff  $m=5\mod 8$ .

## Conclusion:

1. a nonreduced ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[y]/(y^2)$  if  $p \mid \Delta$ 

- 2.  $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$ , if p doesn't divide  $\Delta$  and, either m is a q.r. over p if p is odd, or  $m = 1 \mod 8$  if p = 2.
- 3.  $\cong \mathbb{F}_{n^2}$ , remaining case

In case 1,  $p\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}^2$ ; case 2:  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$ ; case 3: (p) is a prime.

In the language of schemes:  $Spec(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})) \to Spec(\mathbb{Q})$  is etale morphism, but not very interesting, so we spread it out to one-dimension:  $Spec(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{m})) \to Spec(\mathbb{Z})$  is not etale. The fiber (base change to  $Spec(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ) over (0) or split or inert primes is etale.

For more general  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , we want to understand what happens over each prime systematically. To do this we look at the completion  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with the p-adic metric (or the valuation) and the unit disk  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  which allows us to do analysis on it.

Completion is often integral domain

Over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ ,  $v_p$  and  $||_p$  uniquely extends but not longer discrete;  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} : x \text{ integral over } \mathbb{Z}_p\}$  (if we take this idea further we get to Newton polygon). The open disk  $\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is the set of topologically nilpotent elements. The quotient  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . This is not a Noetherian ring.

Fixing an embedding  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  gives a compatible choice of primes above (p) in each finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, it determines an extension  $||_p$  to  $\mathcal{O}_K$  known as a place v of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  above p. The values of  $||_p$  tells us about how ramified p is in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . This also gives  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  by restriction, and we can further look at its image in every finite quotient  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . The image of  $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  in  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is trivial iff p is unramified in K. The image  $Gal(K_v/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is the decomposition group.

Thus to understand ramification and splitting of p in a finite Galois extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  we can always complete at p. We don't just want to understand the structure of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we should understand the whole collection of subgroups  $\{I_p\subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\}$ , as well as the archimedean place (different ways of embedding K into  $\mathbb{C}$  and the absolute value it inherits), and also how  $G_{\mathbb{R}}=\{1,c\}$  acts. All of these subgroups are defined up to conjugacy by  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

When studying actions of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on topological spaces, we can study the restrictions of the action to these subgroups. The action is unramified at p if it is trivial on  $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and most of the time it is unramified at almost all primes.

(Big conjecture) If we fix an field-isomorphism  $r:\overline{Q_p}\stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{C}$ , then there is a bijection from the set of

Irreeducible algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of  $G = GL_n$ 

to that of

Irreducible algebraic continuous representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to GL_n(\overline{Q_p})$ 

Algebraic on the left means  $\pi_{\infty}$  has Harish-Chandra parameters in  $W\setminus (\rho+X^*(T))$ ; algebraic on the right means 1. unramified at all but finitely many (nonarchimedean) places 2. de Rham at p (condition from p-adic Hodge theory)

This bijection is characterised by the 'local-global compatibility' at almost all unramified places. More precisely, at unramified places, we want to match Hecke eigenvalues (Satake paramters) to Frobenius eigenvalues (via r). This match the L-factors.

We can also talk about this conjecture over  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ , then we need to replace  $GL_n$  by  $Res_{F/\mathbb{Q}}GL_n$  and  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  by  $G_F$ 

This specializes to quadratic reciprocity: Take n = 1, suppose q is an odd prime, set  $q^* := (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q$ , note that  $q^* = 1 \mod 4$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q}(q^*)/\mathbb{Q}$  is ramified only at q, and it is the unique quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with this property. We can write down another quadratic extension with this property: if we look at  $\zeta_q$ be a primitive root of unity, the cyclotomic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$  is ramified only at qas well, and if we take the field H corresponding to the unique index 2 subgroup of  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_a)/\mathbb{Q})$ , it will be a quadratic extension K ramified only at q, so it is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q^*})$ . Note that  $r \mod q$  is in  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/K)$  (index 2 subgroup) iff r is a quadratic residue  $\mod q$ . Equivalently, we can look at the character  $r: Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_a)/\mathbb{Q}) \to Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  which is the quadratic residue  $\mod q$ , which induces an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_1$ . This  $\pi$  match with r just by checking on cyclotomic extensions (Kronecker-Weber). The local-global compatibility is the only nontrivial part: Hecke eigenvalue of  $\pi$  at  $\ell$  is  $\chi(\ell)$ ; on the other hand, this is the Frobenius eigenvalue or  $r = r(Frob_{\ell})$ , which is +1 if  $\ell$  splits in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q^*})$  (since  $\ell$ -th power map is trivial on  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ ) and -1otherwise. By what is said last time, whether  $\ell$  splits in the quadratic extension depends on whether  $q^*$  is a quadratic residue mod  $\ell$  if  $\ell \neq 2$  and something else for  $\ell = 2$ . From this we can get the quadratic reciprocity.