

Cryptography 101: The Shannon's Theorem

ComComX • 9 Feb 2026

Let \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} be the spaces of messages, keys, and ciphertexts, respectively.

Definition 1 (Encryption scheme). *An encryption scheme is a triple $(\text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ where*

- $\text{KeyGen}()$ outputs a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$
- $\text{Enc}(k, m)$ outputs a ciphertext $c \in \mathcal{C}$ given a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and a message $m \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\text{Dec}(k, c)$ outputs a message $\hat{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ given a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and a ciphertext $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2 (Correctness). *An encryption scheme is said to be correct if for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$,*

$$\Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}}[\text{Dec}(k, \text{Enc}(k, m)) = m] = 1.$$

Definition 3 (Perfect indistinguishability). *Let $C(m)$ be a random variable over \mathcal{C} corresponding to Enc . An encryption scheme is said to be perfect indistinguishable if for any $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we have*

$$\Pr[C(m_0) = c] = \Pr[C(m_1) = c].$$

Definition 4 (One-time pad encryption scheme). *Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} all be $\{0, 1\}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The one-time pad encryption scheme is defined as:*

- $\text{KeyGen}()$: sample k uniformly from $\{0, 1\}^n$
- $\text{Enc}(k, m)$: output $k \oplus m$
- $\text{Dec}(k, c)$: output $k \oplus c$.

Theorem 5 (One-time pad). *The one-time pad encryption scheme satisfies both correctness and perfect indistinguishability.*

Proof. Correctness: for any $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\text{Dec}(k, \text{Enc}(k, m)) = \text{Dec}(k, k \oplus m) = k \oplus m \oplus k = m.$$

Perfect indistinguishability: for any k, m and c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\text{Enc}(k, m) = c] &= \Pr[k \oplus m = c] \\ &= \Pr[k \oplus m \oplus m = c \oplus m] \\ &= \Pr[k = c \oplus m] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6 (Shannon's theorem, 1949). *In any encryption scheme that satisfies both correctness and perfect indistinguishability, it is necessarily that $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that there exists an encryption scheme that satisfies both correctness and perfect indistinguishability with $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$. Consider a message $m_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, a key $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, and $c = \text{Enc}(k_0, m_0)$. Due to the correctness, there is exactly one message in \mathcal{M} that is mapped to c by the key k_0 . Therefore, there are at most $|\mathcal{K}|$ messages that can be mapped to c by any key $k \in \mathcal{K}$. This means that there exists a message $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ that is not mapped to c by any key $k \in \mathcal{K}$, i.e., $\Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{GenKey}()}[\text{Enc}(k, m_1) = c] = 0$. Since we know that $c = \text{Enc}(k_0, m_0)$, we have $\Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{GenKey}()}[\text{Enc}(k, m_0) = c] > 0$, which contradicts the perfect indistinguishability. □