## An Example of a Maximal Nilpotent Subalgebra that is not a Cartan Subalgebra

written by ZHI-LIN on Functor Network original link: https://functor.network/user/2628/entry/907

We start with an easy exercise.

**Exercise** Let L be the 3-dimensional complex Lie algebra with basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  such that

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_2.$$

Find a Cartan decomposition of L.  $\diamondsuit$ 

Note that this Lie algebra is not nilpotent, because L is not ad-nilpotent:

$$[\mathrm{ad}_{e_1}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\mathrm{ad}_{e_2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\mathrm{ad}_{e_3}] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that  $M = \operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$  is a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of L of dimension 2, and since L is not nilpotent, we see that M is a maximal nilpotent subalgebra of L. In fact, M is a maximal abelian subalgebra of L. However, M is not a Cartan subalgebra. For example, note that M is not self-normalized, because  $[M, e_3] \subseteq M$  but  $e_3 \notin M$ .

A Cartan subalgebra of L can be chosen, for example,  $H = \text{span}\{e_3\}$ , as it is easy to see that H is self-normalized, and is of course nilpotent. It turns out that M and H are both maximal nilpotent subalgebra, and H has smaller dimension than M.

A Cartan decomposition of L is

$$L = \text{span}\{e_3\} \oplus \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\} = L_0 \oplus L_{-1}.$$

Although M is not a Cartan subalgebra, we can still find the weight space decomposition of L as M acts on L. Since  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are ad-nilpotent, the weight space decomposition is just

$$L = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} = V_0.$$

Note that this generalized weight space  $V_0$  (with respect to weight 0) is strictly larger than M, which is not strange because M is not a Cartan subalgebra.