

# Ideal-theoretic characterization of irreducibility

written by rapha on Functor Network

original link: <https://functor.network/user/2593/entry/1043>

---

Recall that in an **irreducible element** in an integral domain  $A$  is a non-zero, non-unit element that admits no decomposition as the product of two non-unit elements. In particular, irreducible elements in polynomial rings are called **irreducible polynomials**; examples include  $x^2 + y^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ , and  $x + iy$  or  $y^2 - x$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ .

**Ideal-theoretic characterization of irreducibility.** Let  $A$  be an integral domain. An element  $f \in A$  is irreducible if, and only if, the ideal  $(f)$  is non-zero, and is maximal among the principal ideals of  $A$ . More precisely, we have  $(0) < (f) < A$ , and if  $a \in A$  is any element such that  $(f) \leq (a)$ , then either  $(f) = (a)$  or  $(a) = A$ .

(Proof is a good exercise, easy & omitted.)

Recall that in any integral domain, two elements are **relatively prime** if, whenever  $d$  divides both elements (i.e. is a common divisor), then  $d$  is a unit. It's not too hard to show the following "ideal-theoretic" characterization of this concept: in an integral domain  $A$ , the elements  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime if, and only if,  $(f, g) \leq (d)$  implies  $(d) = A$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $A$  be an integral domain, and let  $f$  and  $g$  be two irreducible elements in  $A$ . Either  $(f) = (g)$ , or  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime.

**Proof.** Let  $d$  be a common divisor of  $f$  and  $g$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are both elements of  $(d)$ , hence  $(f) \leq (d)$  and  $(g) \leq (d)$ . Because  $f$  and  $g$  are irreducible, either  $(f) = (d)$  and  $(g) = (d)$ , or  $(d) = A$ . In the first case, we obtain  $(f) = (g)$ . In the second case, we obtain that  $d$  is a unit, whence  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime. ■

**Corollary.** Let  $A$  be a unique factorization domain with fraction field  $K$ . Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are two relatively prime polynomials in  $A[x]$ , and that  $g$  is non-constant and irreducible. Then the inclusions of  $f$  and  $g$  in the larger ring  $K[x]$  are relatively prime polynomials.

**Proof.** By the previous proposition, it suffices to show that  $(f) \neq (g)$  as ideals in  $K[x]$ . Suppose on the contrary that the ideals are equal, so that  $f = pg$  for some  $p \in K[x]$ . We may put all monomials in  $p(x)$  over the same denominator and write  $p = (1/\alpha)q$  for some non-zero  $\alpha \in A$  and some  $q \in A[x]$ . Hence we have the equation  $\alpha f = gq$  in  $A[x]$ . In particular, this means  $\alpha f \in (g)$ . Because  $A[x]$  is a UFD, the ideal  $(g)$  generated by an irreducible element is prime, so either  $\alpha \in (g)$  or  $f \in (g)$ . Since the latter is impossible by relative primality, we must have that  $g$  divides  $\alpha$  in  $A[x]$ . But  $\alpha$  is a non-zero constant, so  $g$  is a constant polynomial as well. This contradicts our hypothesis that  $g$  was non-constant. ■

Notice that by Gauss' Lemma on polynomials, the polynomial  $g$  of the previous corollary is irreducible in  $K[x]$  as well.

**EDIT** A way better proof is made in a more recent post.