

# Holomorphic one-forms and the genus

written by akrishna168 on Functor Network

original link: <https://functor.network/user/1778/entry/802>

---

In this post, I'll use the Riemann-Roch Theorem to prove that the topological genus and the geometric genus agree. I will work with compact Riemann surfaces, but since these are the same as smooth algebraic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ , these results are valid in the algebraic setting as well.

Recall the statement of Riemann-Roch: If  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface with genus  $g$ , and  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$ , then

$$\dim(L(D)) - \dim(L(K - D)) = \deg(D) - g + 1.$$

Define the *topological genus* to be the quantity  $g$  appearing above, which is intuitively the number of holes that  $X$  has (by the classification of compact surfaces and the fact that the complex structure induces orientability, it follows that the underlying real 2-manifold of  $X$  is the connected sum of  $g$  tori). Define the *geometric genus* to be the dimension of the space of holomorphic 1-forms  $\Omega^1(X)$ .

**Theorem.** The geometric genus and topological genus agree.

**Proof.** If  $D$  is the empty divisor, then  $L(D)$  is just the space of all holomorphic functions. Now, the space of holomorphic functions on any compact Riemann surface is  $\mathbb{C}$  by the maximum principle, i.e. one-dimensional. Putting  $D = 0$  into the Riemann-Roch formula, alongside the fact that  $\dim L(0) = 1$ , we have that  $\dim L(K) = 1$ . Now, suppose that  $K = (\omega)$  for some meromorphic 1-form  $\omega$ . For any  $f \in L(K)$ , the 1-form  $f\omega$  will not have any poles: if it did,  $(f) + (\omega)$  would not be everywhere nonnegative. That is,  $f\omega$  is a holomorphic 1-form. Conversely, suppose  $\eta$  is a holomorphic 1-form; we can write  $\eta = h\omega$ , for some meromorphic function  $h$ . Since  $(h) + (\omega) = (\eta) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $h \in L(K)$ . This gives a linear isomorphism between  $\Omega^1(X)$  and  $L(K)$ , and since  $L(K)$  is  $g$ -dimensional, so too is  $\Omega^1(X)$ .  $\square$

There is a proof using Hodge theory as well: we can decompose  $H^1(X) = H^{1,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,1}(X)$  into holomorphic and antiholomorphic forms respectively. Since  $H^1(X)$  is  $2g$ -dimensional, the space of holomorphic 1-forms  $\Omega^1(X) = H^{1,0}(X)$  is  $g$ -dimensional.