

Some constants

written by heyredhat on Functor Network

original link: <https://functor.network/user/1704/entry/673>

Let's forget everything again. We have a reference device characterized by some bistochastic $P(E_i|\sigma_j)$. We suppose that $\Phi = \alpha I + \beta J$ is a Born matrix, satisfying $P\Phi P = P$, where J is the matrix of all 1's. As we've learned this implies that for $P(E|\rho) \in \text{col}(P)$,

$$\sum_j P(E_i|\sigma_j)P(E_j|\rho) = \frac{1}{\alpha} [P(E_i|\rho) - \beta]. \quad (1)$$

If we want $\sum_{ij} \Phi_{ij} P(E_j) = 1$, we must have $\beta = (1 - \alpha) (\frac{1}{n})$. We observe that $P\Phi = (P\Phi)^T$ and $P\Phi = \alpha P + \beta J$, which projects on $S = \text{col}(P)$. For any observable $x \in \text{col}(P)$, we assume a lower bound on the variance which is linear in $P(E|\rho)$ and quadratic in $\{x_i\}$. We thus have some three-index tensor A_{ijk} such that

$$\forall x \in S : \sum_i x_i^2 P(E_i|\rho) \geq \sum_{ijk} A_{ijk} x_i x_j P(E_k|\rho), \quad (2)$$

or

$$\forall x \in S : \sum_{ij} x_i \left[\sum_k (\delta_{ij}\delta_{ik} - A_{ijk}) P(E_k|\rho) \right] x_j \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Let $B[\rho]_{ij} = \sum_k (\delta_{ij}\delta_{ik} - A_{ijk}) P(E_k|\rho)$. We want A_{ijk} to be symmetric in the first two indices so that $B[\rho]$ is symmetric. Since $B[\rho] \geq 0$ on $\text{col}(P)$, and $P\Phi$ projects onto that subspace, we have

$$C[\rho] = \chi P\Phi B[\rho] P\Phi \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

iff $P(E|\rho)$ is a valid state. Just as we assumed a particular simple form for Φ , we assume a particular simple form for A_{ijk} , namely

$$A_{ijk} = \eta (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik} - \delta_{jk}). \quad (5)$$

Let us work out the matrix elements of $C[\rho]$. First,

$$\sum_k (\delta_{ij}\delta_{ik} - \eta [\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik} - \delta_{jk}]) P(E_k|\rho) = \delta_{ij} P(E_i|\rho) - \eta \delta_{ij} + \eta P(E_i|\rho) + \eta P(E_j|\rho), \quad (6)$$

so that

$$C[\rho]_{il} = \chi \left\{ \sum_{jk} \left[\alpha P(E_i|\sigma_j) + \beta \right] \left[\delta_{jk} P(E_j|\rho) - \eta \delta_{jk} + \eta P(E_j|\rho) + \eta P(E_k|\rho) \right] \left[\alpha P(E_k|\sigma_l) + \beta \right] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi \left\{ \sum_j \left[\alpha P(E_i|\sigma_j) + \beta \right] \left[\alpha P(E_j|\sigma_l)P(E_j|\rho) - \eta \alpha P(E_j|\sigma_l) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \eta \alpha P(E_j|\rho) + \eta \alpha \sum_k P(E_l|\sigma_k)P(E_k|\rho) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \beta P(E_j|\rho) - \eta \beta + n \eta \beta P(E_j|\rho) + \eta \beta \right] \right\} \\
&= \chi \left\{ \sum_j \left[\alpha P(E_i|\sigma_j) + \beta \right] \left[\alpha P(E_j|\sigma_l)P(E_j|\rho) - \eta \alpha P(E_j|\sigma_l) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \eta P(E_l|\rho) + (\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta)P(E_j|\rho) - \beta \eta \right] \right\}, \\
&= \chi \left\{ \alpha^2 \sum_j P(E_j|\sigma_i)P(E_j|\sigma_l)P(E_j|\rho) - \alpha^2 \eta \sum_j P(E_i|\sigma_j)P(E_j|\sigma_l) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha \eta P(E_l|\rho) + \alpha(\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta) \sum_j P(E_i|\sigma_j)P(E_j|\rho) - \alpha \beta \eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha \beta \sum_j P(E_l|\sigma_j)P(E_j|\rho) - \eta \alpha \beta + \beta \eta n P(E_l|\rho) + \beta(\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta) - \beta^2 \eta n \right\}, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\sum_j P(E_i|\sigma_j)P(E_j|\rho) = \frac{1}{\alpha} [P(E_i|\rho) - \beta]$, this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
C[\rho]_{il} &= \chi \left\{ \alpha^2 \sum_j P(E_j|\sigma_i)P(E_j|\sigma_l)P(E_j|\rho) - \alpha \eta P(E_i|\sigma_l) + \alpha \eta \beta + \alpha \eta P(E_l|\rho) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta)P(E_i|\rho) - \beta(\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta) - \alpha \beta \eta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \beta P(E_l|\rho) - \beta^2 - \eta \alpha \beta + \beta \eta n P(E_l|\rho) + \beta(\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta) - \beta^2 \eta n \right\}, \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
C[\rho]_{il} &= \chi \left\{ \alpha^2 \sum_j P(E_j|\sigma_i)P(E_j|\sigma_l)P(E_j|\rho) - \alpha \eta P(E_i|\sigma_l) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta)P(E_i|\rho) + (\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta)P(E_l|\rho) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta(\eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta) \right\}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\kappa = \eta \alpha + \beta + n \eta \beta = \beta + \eta$, since $\beta = \frac{1}{n}(1 - \alpha)$. We obtain at last

$$C[\rho]_{ij} = \chi \left\{ \alpha^2 \sum_k P(E_k|\sigma_i)P(E_k|\sigma_j)P(E_k|\rho) - \alpha \eta P(E_i|\sigma_j) \right\} \tag{11}$$

$$+ \kappa P(E_i|\rho) + \kappa P(E_j|\rho) - \beta \kappa \Big\}.$$

In the case of quantum theory according to a 3-design, $\alpha = (d+1)$, $\beta = -\frac{d}{n}$, $\eta = \frac{1}{d+2} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)$, $\chi = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) \left(\frac{d+2}{d+1} \right)$. Then $\kappa = - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2} \right) = -\alpha\eta$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} C[\rho]_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) \left(\frac{d+2}{d+1} \right) \left\{ (d+1)^2 \sum_k P(E_k|\sigma_i) P(E_k|\sigma_j) P(E_k|\rho) - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2} \right) P(E_i|\sigma_l) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2} \right) P(E_i|\rho) - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2} \right) P(E_j|\rho) - \left(\frac{d}{n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{d+1}{d+2} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} C[\rho]_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (d+1)(d+2) \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) \sum_k P(E_k|\sigma_i) P(E_k|\sigma_j) P(E_k|\rho) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P(E_i|\sigma_l) - P(E_i|\rho) - P(E_j|\rho) - \frac{d}{n} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which is precisely the matrix $\mathcal{L}[\rho]_{ij} = \text{tr}(E_i [\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_j \rho + \rho \sigma_j)])$ which we've derived elsewhere, and in particular $C[\sigma_k]_{ij} = \Re[\text{tr}(E_i \sigma_j \sigma_k)]$, so that $C[\rho] = \sum_k C[\sigma_k] \Phi_{kl} P(E_l|\rho)$.